

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,778	02/22/2002	James D. Greenfield	END920010082	2009	
30400	30400 7590 03/21/2005			EXAMINER	
	THENBERG FARLE	LEE, Y YOUNG			
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2613		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,778	GREENFIELD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Y. Lee	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Not tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 January 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,13 and 20</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>6,13 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be	Application No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	` ' ''	ot received				
	scor the certified copies fi	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	o, L. Other	 ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-10, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deierling (6,239,847).

Deierling, in Figures 2, 3, and 6, discloses the same system and method of filtering pixels video frames of a sequence of video frames for facilitating video encoding 504 thereof as specified in claims 1-3, 7-10, and 14-17 of the present invention, comprising prior to MPEG compressing encoding of the video frames 504, obtaining pixel values of video frames of the sequence of video frames (e.g. incoming video); and programmably vertically filtering noise from the pixel values of the video frames 210 prior to the MPEG compressing encoding 504.

With respect to claims 2, 3, 7-10, and 14-17, Figure 2 of Sita et al discloses the same program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying at least one program of instructions executable by the machine to dynamically obtain via a host interface new vertical filter coefficients 212 during the programmably vertically filtering of

Application/Control Number: 10/081,778 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

pixel values per video frame of the sequence of video frames 213 for enhancing video encoding of the sequence of video frames (Fig. 3);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deierling in view of Wu et al (5,959,693).

Although Deierling discloses programmably vertically filtering, it is noted Deierling differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose vertical filter coefficients for separate components as specified in claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 18, and 19. Wu et al however, in Figures 1, 2, 4, and 5, teaches the concept of such well known separating luminance components and chrominance components of the pixel values in

Application/Control Number: 10/081,778

Art Unit: 2613

a vertical filter buffer (Fig. 1); vertically filtering luminance components of pixel values using at least two programmable luminance filter coefficients (Fig. 2) and vertically filtering chrominance components of the pixel values using least two programmable chrominance filter coefficients (Fig. 4); and merging filtered luminance component data and filtered chrominance component data 130 after the vertically filtering of luminance components and the vertically filtering of chrominance components 100.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Deierling and Wu et al before him/her, to exploit the well known adaptive noise reduction filter as taught by Wu et al in the filtering method of Deierling, in order to provide stronger filtering when there is a high likelihood that noise is present.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-10, and 14-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6, 13, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims for the same reasons as set forth in Section 4 of the last office action, dated 12/9/04.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334.

The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Application/Control Number: 10/081,778

Art Unit: 2613

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

yl